

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,544	03/01/2004	Miroslav Colic	4904-4DIV	9685
75	7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenu	ıe		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10176		1632	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/790,544		COLIC, MIROSLAV			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO! , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	pril 2006.					
·	action is non-final.					
· ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 15-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	FR 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-		* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C.	\$ 119(a) _r (d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0.	3 1 10(d) (d) or (r).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			l Stane			
application from the International Bureau	•	received in this Hational	olage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆 Intentiew	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application				

This application filed March 1, 2004, is a DIV of 09/640,218, filed August 16, 2000, now ABN which claims benefit of 60/149,131, filed 08/16/1999.

Applicant's preliminary amendment filed April 9, 2004 has been received and entered. Claims 1-14 have been canceled. Claims 15-23 have been added. Claims 15-23 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of agents added to the zeolite for the methods of delivery. Claim 19 lists several specific additives which are to be further added. Applicant must choose one or a specific combination of more than one species listed in claims 19-21. The species are independent or distinct because each are directed to materially different products which would have separate and different affects in the context of the claimed method, and different considerations in generating the compound for delivery..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 15-19, 22 and 23 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,544

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

Joe Worters

Page 4